#### **Cells: A Virtual Mobile Smartphone Architecture**



단국대학교 컴퓨터 보안 및 OS 연구실 peonix120@gmail.com 임경환

2015.06.09



### Contents

#### INTRODUCTION

- **OVERALL ARCHITECTURE**
- Security Features
- Security policy
- Conclusion
- Reference
- \* Q & A

# INTRODUCTION

Platform shifting from computers to smartphones

#### Need of Virtualizing smartphones

- ✓ Existing (system) virtualizations
  - High overhead
  - Hardware devices

#### CELLS: lightweight virtualization architecture



# **Overview of Cells**

#### Virtual Phones

✓ Multiple Android instead of multiple OS instances

#### Union FS

- ✓ To maximize Sharing common read-only code and data across VPs
- minimizing memory consumption, enabling additional VPs to be instantiated without much overhead

#### Small display form factors of smartphones

✓ Foreground / Background model

#### Using a VoIP service

 To provide individual telephone numbers for each VP without the need for multiple SIM cards.

# **USAGE MODEL**

**Each VP is completely isolated from other VPs** 

#### Foreground / Background model

- ✓ A user can easily switch among VPs by selecting one of the background VPs to become the foreground one.
- VPs are created and configured on a PC and downloaded to a phone via USB.
- Each VP can be configured to have different access rights for different devices.
  - ✓ No access, shared access, or exclusive access



# **SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE**

- Lightweight OS virtualization for virtual phones isolation
- Single OS Kernel
- Virtualizes identifiers, kernel interfaces and hardware resources
- Transparently remapping OS resource identifiers to virtual ones



<sup>\*</sup>RIL: Vendor Radio Interface Layer library is loaded by CellD

### **Kernel-Level Device Virtualization**

#### Device namespaces

✓ Hardware resource multiplexing and isolation

#### Callback functions

- ✓ These are called when a device namespace changes state.
- Cells virtualizes existing kernel interfaces based on three methods of implementing device namespace functionality.
  - ✓ The first method is to create a device driver wrapper using a new device driver for a virtual device.
  - ✓ The second method is to modify a device subsystem to be aware of device namespaces.
  - The third method is to modify a device driver to be aware of device namespaces.

### **User-Level Device Virtualization**

- Name space proxy mechanisms
- Virtualize device configuration
- Kernel device namespaces export an interface to the root namespace through the /proc file system that is used to switch the foreground VP and set access permissions for devices.
- CellD also coordinates user space virtualization mechanisms such as the configuration of telephony and wireless networking



### GRAPHICS

#### Framebuffer

- To virtualize FB access in multiple VPs, Cells leverages the kernel-level device namespace and its foreground-background usage model in a new multiplexing FB device driver, **mux\_fb**.
- ✓ The foreground VP is given exclusive access to the screen memory and display hardware



Computer Security & OS

# **POWER MANAGEMENT**

#### Early suspend subsystem

✓ The subsystem is an ordered callback interface

#### Frame buffer early suspend:

- ✓ Fbearlysuspend driver exports display device suspend and resume state into user space.
- Block all processes using display while display powered off/ redraws screen when powered on.

#### Wake locks: Two states

- ✓ Active-locked
- ✓ Inactive-unlocked
- Wake locks can be created statically at compile time or dynamically by kernel drivers or user space.

### TELEPHONY

#### Radio stack virtualization

#### RIL proxy

- ✓ CELLS own proxy RIL library by RILD
- ✓ RIL library + CELLD=RIL proxy

#### Multiple phone numbers

- ✓ Pairing cells with VOIP service
- ✓ Single digit scheme
- ✓ Asterisk server



Figure 2: Cells Radio Interface Layer

# **Telephony/Outgoing Calls**



# **Telephony/Incoming Calls**



Computer Security & OS Lab.

# **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

#### Experiment aim to measure:

- ✓ runtime overhead;
- ✓ power consumption;
- ✓ memory usage.

#### Testing configuration:

- ✓ Nexus1, Nexus S
- ✓ Up to 5 Virtual phones;
- ✓ With App and NoApp modes;
- ✓ Network test downloading 400 Mb file using wi-fi;
- ✓ Power consumption test 4 and 12 hours Active and Idle modes

# Runtime overhead(Baseline)



No App mode, Nexus 1 perform worse than Nexus S on Quadrant(File I/O) and Network tests(might be SD card usage). Overall performance overhead of Cells was within 7% in comparison to Baseline(original).

# Runtime overhead(With App)



In "With App" mode background music was continuously played during the test. Performance of Nexus S shown less than 10% overhead.

### **Power consumption**



(e) Normalized battery capacity

Power consumption in active mode 4 hours and 12 passive mode. Nexus 1 during playing the music shows gradual increase up to 20% overhead because of scheduling more processes. While on Nexus S power overhead was within 2%.

### Memory usage



- Memory test in "Apps mode" running applications such as Web browser, mail client, Calendar on all VPs. Nexus 1 show 20% better result because of Kernel Same page Merging algorithm(KSM).
- Nexus S use Low memory killer that free the memory during the execution 4<sup>th</sup> VP in "No Apps" mode and after 3<sup>rd</sup> VP in "Apps" mode.

### Conclusion

- First complete OS virtualization for mobile devices.
  - Device namespaces + Foreground/Background = Complete virtualization
- Less overhead
- No visible performance variation for benchmark configurations

### Reference

- S. Bhattiprolu, E. W. Biederman, S. Hallyn, and D. Lezcano. Virtual Servers and Checkpoint/Restart in Mainstream Linux. ACM SIGOPS Operating Systems Review, 42:104{113, July 2008.
- S. Osman, D. Subhraveti, G. Su, and J. Nieh. TheDesign and Implementation of Zap: a System for Migrating Computing Environments. In Proceedings of the 5th Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation, Boston, MA, Dec. 2002.

# 감사합니다.